

REMARKS

The claims in this case were restricted into three Groups. Applicants have provisionally elected Group I, Claims 1-27. The Restriction Requirement is traversed.

For restriction to be proper, a burden must be placed on the Office in examining all claims. Applicant respectfully submits that assuming the methods in Groups I and III and the compositions in Group II are materially different, the search for both the methods and compositions would occur in the same classes/subclasses given the fact that the nanoemulsions in Group I and III method claims and the nanoemulsions in Group II composition claims are structurally related. Thus, the same classes/subclasses would be searched because the same structural elements are relevant to compositions of Groups I-III -- no burden would be placed on the Office in searching and/or examining all claims together. Accordingly, the Restriction Requirement is traversed.

Application No. 10/717,626  
Response to Restriction Requirement

Applicants submit that the present application is ready for examination on the merits.

Notice to this effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



---

Richard E. Treanor  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 36,379

Jeffrey B. McIntyre  
Registration No. 36,867

Customer Number

**22850**

Tel #: (703) 413-3000  
Fax #: (703) 413-2220